SUMMARY OF THE OFFICE ACTION

- 1. There is an objection to Figures 9 and 10 regarding numbering of elements in the Figures. A corrected set of Figures 9 and 10 is requested.
- 2. The Abstract is objected to as exceeding the limit of 150 words.
- 3. The disclosure is objected to because of the element numbering issues of elements 908 and 940 in Figure 10.
- 4. Claim 39 is objected to as not clearly defining the two features of the method, requiring an "and" to separate those features.
- 5. Claims 1 and 30 have been provisionally rejected under the ground of non-statutory obviousness-type double patenting over US Patent Applications 10/954,029; and claims 37, 46 and 54 have been likewise rejected over US Patent Application Serial No. 10/954,029.
- 6. Claims 9, 19, 31, 46 and 48 have been rejected under 35USC112, second paragraph as being vague and indefinite.
- 7. Claims 1, 3-5, 7-8, 22-23, 29, 30-34, 37, 39, 41-43, 45-47 and 50-54 have been rejected under 35 USC 102(b) as being anticipated by US Patent No. 6,267,248 (Johnson).
- 8. Claims 6, 9-11, 24-28, 35-36, 38 and 40 have been rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as unpatentable over Johnson in view of US Patent No. 5,683,085 (Johnson II).
- 9. Claims 12-13 and 48 have been rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as unpatentable over Johnson in view of US Patent No. 5,683,085 (Johnson II) when further considered with US Patent No. 6,250,632 (Albrecht).
- 10. Claims 14-21 and 26-28 have been rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as unpatentable over Johnson in view of US Patent No. 5,683,085 (Johnson II) when further considered with Purton et al. (International Patent Application Publication WO 00/51076).
- 11. Claims 2, 44 and 49 have been rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as unpatentable over Johnson in view of US Patent No. 5,683,085 (Johnson II) AND FURTHER IN VIEW OF u.s. Patent No. 5,240,140 (Huen).

ARGUMENTS IN RESPONSE TO THE ISSUES IN THE OFFICE ACTION

1. There is an objection to Figures 9 and 10 regarding numbering of elements in the Figures. A corrected set of Figures 9 and 10 is requested.

The Figures and/or the references in the specification have been addressed in this Amendment. It is to be noted that it is not improper to identify like features by different numbers in different Figures, while it is improper to identify different elements by like numbers. Applicants have amended page 61 of the specification identified by the Office Action as containing the different numbers so that 804 and 806 are used in both instances for the rollers, and the nature of 908 has been described. FIG. 10 now has the numbering of element 940 deleted.

2. The Abstract is objected to as exceeding the limit of 150 words.

The Abstract has been amended in this Response.

3. The disclosure is objected to because of the element numbering issues of elements 908 and 940 in Figure 10.

These issues have been addressed in conjunction with the Objection to the Figures discussed in paragraph 1 above.

4. Claim 39 is objected to as not clearly defining the two features of the method, requiring an "and" to separate those features.

Claim 39 has been amended to address this issue.

5. Claims 1 and 30 have been provisionally rejected under the ground of non-statutory obviousness-type double patenting over US Patent Applications 10/954,029; and claims 37, 46 and 54 have been likewise rejected over US Patent Application Serial No. 10/954,029.

Two separate Terminal Disclaimers are included with this Response to overcome these issues.

6. Claims 9, 19, 31, 46 and 48 have been rejected under 35USC112, second paragraph as being vague and indefinite.

Claim 9 was amended to correct the dependency error, which was the source of the lack of antecedent basis causing the ambiguity.

Claim 19 was amended to correct the dependency error, which was the source of the lack of antecedent basis causing the ambiguity.

Claim 31 has been amended to correct the omission of the antecedent basis for the term "the gripping arm" and that issue is removed. Applicants traverse the other issue with respect to the assertion that the "card mixing compartment" cannot mix cards. As recited in claim 31 and described in the specification, this function can be performed.

In considering this issue, it must be recalled that there is already a recitation of a card moving element that inserts one card at a time into the card mixing compartment, the recitation being,

"a card moving mechanism for moving cards individually from the in-feed compartment into a card mixing compartment;..."

The card mixing compartment then operates in the following manner so that as individual cards are added into the card mixing compartment, cards are mixed or randomized within that compartment as compared to the cards in the original card input area recited in the claims. Cards within the card mixing compartment are added one at a time. As cards accumulate, the use of the grippers and gripping arm operate to assist in card mixing within the compartment. This works in this particular claim embodiment by the cards in the compartment being gripped in a randomly determined location and the elevator lowered to create a space at a random position within the cards in the compartment. As cards are added into the compartment, as recited above, cards are inserted into these randomly created openings and the cards then brought together again. The cards in the mixing compartment then increase in number (to a total of all cards desired to be inserted into the compartment, which may be the entire set of cards inserted into the card infeed area), creating a randomized mixture of cards in the card mixing compartment. The only physical action (excluded is the processor randomized separation location) causing mixing of cards that does not occur in the mixing chamber is the recited card moving step. The operation of the step of card mixing therefore does occur within the card mixing compartment.

Claim 46 has been amended to better define the "access" that constituted the basis of this objection.

All issues raised under 35 USC 112, second paragraph have been specifically addressed.

7. Claims 1, 3-5, 7-8, 22-23, 29, 30-34, 37, 39, 41-43, 45-47 and 50-54 have been rejected under 35 USC 102(b) as being anticipated by US Patent No. 6,267,248 (Johnson).

As is always the situation where a single reference has been cited under 35 USC 102(b) against claims of an application, it is essential to compare the actual limitations of the claims and the actual teachings of the references, beginning with the independent claims that have been rejected under this statutory provision.

CLAIM 1	JOHNSON DISCLOSURE	COMMENTS
A device for forming a random set		This function of a device is
of playing cards comprising:		disclosed by Johnson.
a top surface and a bottom surface		All physical apparatus have a
of said device;		nominative top and bottom.
a single card receiving area for	"holding means 12"	
receiving an initial set of playing		
cards;		
a randomizing system for		This function can be provided by
randomizing the order of an initial		Johnson.
set of playing cards;		
a collection surface in a card	Johnson provides multiple	
collection area for receiving	collection surfaces (the	
randomized playing cards one at a	compartments 24) for receiving	
time into the card collection area,	cards one-at-time.	
the collection surface receiving		
cards so that all cards are received		
below the top surface of the		
device;		
an image capture device that reads		Johnson discloses card reading
the rank and suit of each card		before deposit into the carousel on
before being received on the card		column 5, lines 7-11.
collection surface;		
an elevator for raising the		There is no elevator raising the
collection surface so that at least		collection surface so that
some randomized cards are		randomized cards are elevated

elevated at least to the top surface	at least to the top surface.
of the device; and	Cards in Johnson always
·	remain below the top surface,
	with at least shroud 25 existing
	between cards and the top of the
	device.
a moveable cover over the	As there is no elevator, there
elevator.	can be no moveable cover over
	the elevator.

As should be readily seen, Johnson does not anticipate the present limitation of claim 1 and all claims dependent therefrom. This rejection, with respect to claim 1 and all claims dependent therefrom is clearly in error. There are at least three distinct limitations in the claims that are not taught by Johnson:

- 1) An elevator;
- 2) Raising cards above the top surface by the elevator; and
- 3) A cover over the elevator.

Applicants are so certain of the lack of anticipation of the invention disclosed in claim 1, a new claim 55 has been provided herein that eliminates the second distinguishing limitation, as the sufficiency of the differences provided by limitations 1) and 3) is sufficient to establish patentability over the art.

The following claim chart will illustrate why the rejection under 35 USC 102(e) is in error with respect to claim 23. This rejection must fail.

CLAIM 23	JOHNSON	COMMENTS
A device for forming a random set		This function of a device is
of playing cards comprising:		disclosed by Johnson.
a top surface and a bottom surface		All physical apparatus have a
of said device;		nominative top and bottom.
a receiving area for an initial set	"holding means 12"	_
of playing cards		
a randomizing system for		This function can be provided by
randomizing initial set of playing		Johnson.
cards;		
a collection surface in a	Johnson provides multiple	
card collection area for receiving	collection surfaces (the	
randomized playing cards;	compartments 24) for receiving	
	cards one-at-time.	

an elevator for raising the collection surface within the card collection area;	There is no elevator raising the collection surface so that randomized cards are elevated at least to the top surface. Cards in Johnson always remain below the top surface, with at least shroud 25 existing between cards and the top of the device.
at least one card supporting element within the card collection area that will support a predetermined number of cards within the card collection area; and	There is no card supporting element in any card collecting area of Johnson that supports a predetermined number of cards. This is the grasping feature or gripping arm feature discussed above.
an image capture system that can read at least the rank of each at least one card before it is inserted into a set of cards at a position below the predetermined number of cards.	Johnson discloses card reading before deposit into the carousel on column 5, lines 7-11.

As should be readily seen, Johnson does not anticipate the present limitation of claim 23 and all claims dependent therefrom. This rejection, with respect to claim 23 and all claims dependent therefrom is clearly in error. There are at least two distinct limitations in the claims that are not taught by Johnson:

- 4) An elevator; and
- 5) The card supporting element within the card collection area that will support a predetermined of cards.

This rejection is clearly in error and must be withdrawn.

The following claim chart will illustrate why the rejection under 35 USC 102(e) is in error with respect to claim 30. This rejection must fail.

CLAIM 30	JOHNSON	COMMENTS
A device for forming a random set		This function of a device is
of playing cards comprising:		disclosed by Johnson.
a top surface and a bottom surface		All physical apparatus have a

of said device;		nominative top and bottom.
a single card receiving area for receiving an initial set of playing cards;	"holding means 12"	,
a randomizing system for randomizing the order of an initial set of playing cards;		This function can be provided by Johnson.
a collection surface in a card collection area for receiving randomized playing cards one at a time into the card collection area, the collection surface receiving cards so that all cards are received below the top surface of the device;	Johnson provides multiple collection surfaces (the compartments 24) for receiving cards one-at-time.	
an image capture device that reads the rank and suit of each card after it has begun leaving the single card receiving area and before being received on the card collection surface;	Johnson discloses card reading before deposit into the carousel on column 5, lines 7-11.	
an elevator for raising the collection surface so that at least some randomized cards are elevated at least to the top surface of the device; and		There is no elevator raising the collection surface so that randomized cards are elevated at least to the top surface. Cards in Johnson always remain below the top surface, with at least shroud 25 existing between cards and the top of the device.
a moveable cover over the elevator.		As there is no elevator, there can be no moveable cover over the elevator.

It should be noted that there is absolutely no way that the disclosed receiving carousel of Johnson can be considered top meet the limitation of an elevator. The function and meaning of elevators and carousels within the playing card shuffling art are different. Carousels rotate individual compartments, while the elevator of the present technology raises and lowers entire groups of playing cards, without separate compartmentalization.

As is shown by the above comparison, there is no basis for maintaining the rejection of claim 30 under 35 USC 102(a) over Johnson.

The following claim chart will illustrate why the rejection under 35 USC 102(e) is in error with respect to claim 31. This rejection must fail.

CLAIM 31	JOHNSON	COMMENTS
An automatic card shuffling		This function of a device is
device comprising:		disclosed by Johnson.
a microprocessor with memory for		Johnson discloses a
controlling the operation of the		microprocessor
device;		
an in-feed compartment	"holding means 12"	
for receiving cards to be		
randomized;		
a card moving mechanism for		This function can be provided by
moving cards individually from		Johnson., although cards are
the in-feed compartment into a		moved into a multiplicity of
single card mixing compartment		compartments, not a single mixing
that receives all cards during a		compartment.
randomization process;		
an image capture system that can	Johnson discloses card reading	
identify at least the rank of each	before deposit into the carousel on	
card as it is moved towards, into	column 5, lines 7-11.	
or through the card mixing		
compartment, but before removal		
from the device;		
a card mixing compartment that		As Johnson can separate cards by
identifies a position for each card		suit and rank, it can identify the
in each set of cards formed in the		location of individual cards in
card mixing compartment,		separate compartments, but not in
		a card mixing compartment.
a memory that records at		Johnson may temporarily have a
least the rank of each card in each		memory of where at least some
set of cards formed in the card		individual cards are located.
mixing compartment;		
wherein the card mixing		Johnson shows a carousel,
compartment comprises a		which is not a substantially
plurality of substantially vertical		vertical support, but has

supports, an opening for the passage of cards from the in-feed compartment, a moveable lower support surface; at least one stationary gripping element, a gripping arm, a lower edge proximate the opening, the gripping arm capable of suspending cards above the opening; and	multiple radial compartments. Johnson does not teach gripping elements or gripping arms capable of suspending cards above an opening.
an elevator for raising and lowering the moveable support surface.	There is no elevator in Johnson.

This rejection is completely in error as shown by the word-by-word, clause by clause comparison of the limitations of the claims. The rejection must be withdrawn.

The following claim chart will illustrate why the rejection under 35 USC 102(e) is in error with respect to claim 37. This rejection must fail.

CLAIM 37	JOHNSON	COMMENTS
A method of randomizing a group	Disclosed by Johnson.	
of cards, comprising the steps of:		
placing a group of cards to be		İ
randomized into a card in-feed		
tray;		
removing cards individually from	Cards are moved individually.	
the card in-feed tray and		
delivering the cards into		
a card collection area, the card		Johnson has multiple
collection area having a moveable		compartments, not a card
lower surface, and a stationary		collection area with a stationary
opening for receiving cards from		opening. The openings for all
the in-feed tray;		carousel compartments move
		with the rotation.
elevating the moveable lower		Johnson totates compartments to
surface to a randomly determined		angular positions, the height being
height;		insignificant.
grasping at least one edge of a	Johnson never grips edges of	

group of cards in the card collection area at a point just above the stationary opening;	cards. Even the rollers grip faces of cards.	
lowering the moveable lower surface to create an opening in a stack of cards formed on the lower surface, the opening located just beneath a lowermost point where the cards are grasped;	Johnson never lowers the moveable surfaces to create an opening, and cannot create an opening between cards.	
inserting a card removed from the in-feed tray into the opening;	As Johnson does not have this "opening" (the stationary opening), this step cannot be performed.	
after randomizing all cards, elevating a collection of randomized cards; and	There is no elevation of a collection of randomized cards, but rotation of those cards.	
reading at least the rank of each card after it is individually removed from the card in-feed tray and before it has been inserted into the opening.	Although Johnson reads cards, there is no opening for them to be passed through as recited in the claims.	

As can be seen, Johnson fails to show numerous limitations in the claims that are recited in the claim 37. The rejection is in error and must be withdrawn.

The following claim chart will illustrate why the rejection under 35 USC 102(e) is in error with respect to claim 43. This rejection must fail.

CLAIM 43	JOHNSON	COMMENTS
An automatic card shuffler	Johnson has a card shuffler.	
comprising:		
a housing capable of being	There are no attributes enabling	
mounted into a gaming table	mounting into a table. The	
surface;	direction of card insertion and	
	card removal is inherently	
	negative to such mounting.	
a card receiver for accepting a	"holding means 12"	
group of cards to be shuffled;		
a randomizing system for	Johnson may randomize cards,	
randomizing the order of an initial	and Johnson discloses card	

set of playing cards wherein an image capture device identifies at least the rank of each card in the initial set of playing cards before each card is positioned on a collection surface for receiving randomized cards;	reading before deposit into the carousel on column 5, lines 7-11.	
the a single collection surface for		Johnson has multiple carousel
receiving <u>all</u> randomized cards;		compartments, not a single
		collection surface for all cards.
an elevator for raising the		There is no Johnson elevator.
collection surface to an elevation		
proximate the gaming table		
surface; and		
a microprocessor for controlling		Johnson does have a processor for
the operation of the card shuffler.		control of the device.

Johnson clearly fails to show deposition of all playing cards onto a single collection surface.

The following claim chart will illustrate why the rejection under 35 USC 102(e) is in error with respect to claim 45. This rejection must fail.

CLAIM 45	JOHNSON	COMMENTS
An automatic card shuffler, comprising:		Johnson discloses a card shuffler.
a microprocessor; a card randomization mechanism;		Johnson has a microprocessor and a card randomization process.
a controller for controlling the card randomization mechanism by means of a user-manipulated remote control device; and		Johnson does not disclose a remote control device on a playing card shuffler.
a card moving sequence programmed in memory that enables the automatic card shuffler to move a set of cards from a card receiving position to a card collection area in the shuffler in a non-shuffling event,		Johnson teaches multiple compartments in a carousel, not a card collection area.
and to read the rank and suit of each card between the card receiving position and the card		Johnson does disclose card reading before movement into the carousel.

collection area in the non-		
shuffling event.	-	

This rejection is in error. Johnson clearly does not disclose a remote control device on a playing card shuffler.

The following claim chart will illustrate why the rejection under 35 USC 102(e) is in error with respect to claim 46. This rejection must fail.

CLAIM 46	JOHNSON	COMMENTS
A device for forming a random set of playing cards comprising:	Generally disclosed by Johnson.	
a top surface and a bottom surface of said device;	Inherent in any apparatus.	
a single card receiving area for receiving an initial set of playing cards;	"holding means 12"	
a randomizing system for randomizing the order of an initial set of playing cards;	Johnson shuffles cards.	
a single collection surface in a card collection area for receiving randomized playing cards one at a time into the single card collection area to form a single randomized set of playing cards, the single collection surface receiving cards so that all playing cards from the initial set of playing cards are received below the top surface of the device		Johnson does not have a single collection surface for collecting cards but has a carousel with multiple compartments that receive cards.
an image capture device that reads the rank and suit of each card after it has begun leaving the single card receiving area and before being received on the single card collection surface; and		Johnson has a playing card reading sensor.
access into an open area comprising 2, 3 or 4 vertical		The delivery tray eventually receives all randomized playing

supports for removal of the single	cards.
randomized set of playing cards as	
a complete set.	

Johnson does not disclose the use of s single surface for receiving randomized cards. Johnson cannot perform this step or provide that structure. Johnson does not show the 2, 3 or 4 vertical supports, but only shows a ledge with a single angled support for catching the cards dropped into the delivery tray. The vertical supports, as opposed to the angled support of Johnson perform different functions, Johnson actually catches cards as they slide into the delivery tray. The 2, 3 or 4 vertical supports prevent the cards from sliding in various directions as the cards are moved by the single card collection surface. The present claimed technology would avoid the use of a sloped surface as shown by Johnson as that would allow too much slippage of the cards. Johnson can tolerate such slippage because the angled elevated portion of the delivery tray does not move and is a passive stop for cards dropped into the delivery tray. This claim is not anticipated by Johnson.

The following claim chart will illustrate why the rejection under 35 USC 102(e) is in error with respect to claim 54. This rejection must fail.

CLAIM 54	JOHNSON	COMMENTS
A device for shuffling cards,	See above.	
comprising:		
a card receiving area for receiving	See above.	
an initial set of unshuffled cards;		
a card randomizing system for	See above	
randomizing an order of the cards;		
a first sensor for sensing a	See above.	
position of cards between the card		
receiving area and the card		
randomizing system;		
a second sensor for sensing rank	Johnson discloses only one	
and/or suit or each card; and a	sensor at position 15. There is	
microprocessor that activates the	no activation function in the	
second sensor upon receiving a	sensor as recited in this claim.	
card present signal from the first	There is no second sensor	
sensor.	shown.	

Each of these independent claims has been shown to be novel over the disclosure of Johnson. All dependent claims are also inherently and legally novel over Johnson. All claims in this rejection have been shown to be novel and the rejection must be withdrawn.

8. Claims 6, 9-11, 24-28, 35-36, 38 and 40 have been rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as unpatentable over Johnson in view of US Patent No. 5,683,085 (Johnson II).

Each of these claims is dependent claims from the claims rejected in paragraph 7. The additional Johnson II reference does not teach the limitations that were the basis for establishing Novelty under 35 USC 102(b) and there is no basis for asserting those limitations to be obvious from the individual or combined teachings of these references. Johnson II also fails to show card supporting elements in the card collection area, there is no elevator cover, no moving elevator, and the like. The rejection must fail for at least that reason, even without conceding that Johnson II does or does not teach the limitations for which it has been cited.

Although Johnson does show an elevator and grippers, the elevators do not raise cards up to or above the top of the device as recited in claim 1. Rather, cards are removed from the bottom of the stack on the elevator and transmitted to the delivery device 43. Johnson et al. ('085) also shows a sensor with a trigger function, but again does not meet the structural performance of elevating cards to or above the surface (the top) for removal by a dealer.

The limitation in claim 1 is "...an elevator for raising the collection surface so that at least some randomized cards are elevated at least to the top surface of the device; and...," and this limitation is not shown by Johnson II. The rejection is in error.

9. Claims 12-13 and 48 have been rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as unpatentable over Johnson in view of US Patent No. 5,683,085 (Johnson II) when further considered with US Patent No. 6,250,632 (Albrecht).

Each of these claims is dependent claims from the claims rejected in paragraph 7 or paragraph 8. The additional Albrecht reference does not teach the limitations that were the basis for establishing Novelty under 35 USC 102(b) and unobviousness under 35 USC 103(a) in paragraph 8 and there is no basis for

asserting those limitations to be obvious from the individual or combined teachings of these references. The rejection must fail for at least that reason, even without conceding that Albrecht does or does not teach the limitations for which it has been cited.

Claims 12-13, for example, address fine control of the collection surface on the elevator position. The elevator shaft of Johnson II is stationary and the grippers move up and down adjacent the shaft.

10. Claims 14-21 and 26-28 have been rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as unpatentable over Johnson in view of US Patent No. 5,683,085 (Johnson II) when further considered with Purton et al. (International Patent Application Publication WO 00/51076).

Each of these claims is dependent claims from the claims rejected in paragraph 7 and paragraph 8. The additional Purton reference does not teach the limitations that were the basis for establishing Novelty under 35 USC 102(b) and unobviousness under 35 USC 103(a) and there is no basis for asserting those limitations to be obvious from the individual or combined teachings of these references. The rejection must fail for at least that reason, even without conceding that Johnson II does or does not teach the limitations for which it has been cited. Again, the elevator shaft of Johnson II is stationary and the grippers move up and down adjacent the shaft. Johnson does not raise cards to the top of the device.

11. Claims 2, 44 and 49 have been rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as unpatentable over Johnson in view of US Patent No. 5,683,085 (Johnson II).

Each of these claims is dependent claims from the claims rejected in paragraph 7. The additional Johnson II reference does not teach the limitations that were the basis for establishing Novelty under 35 USC 102(b) and there is no basis for asserting those limitations to be obvious from the individual or combined teachings of these references. The rejection must fail for at least that reason, even without conceding that Johnson II does or does not teach the limitations for which it has been cited.

Johnson II, as repeatedly noted above, does not teach elevating a complete set of cards as described in claims 2 and 44. Cards are moved from the bottom of the stack, not raised to the top surface for manual removal. There is no moveable cover. Cards are delivered from the bottom of the stack to a delivery shoe structure. Johnson II does not overcome the deficiencies of Johnson.

REMARKS

The above amendments and argumwents clearly establish that the rejections are in error and must be withdrawn.

If a telephone conference would be helpful in resolving any issues concerning this communication, please contact Applicant's attorney of record, Mark A. Litman at (952)832-9090.

Respectfully submitted,

ATTILA GRAUZER et al.
By Their Representatives,
MARK A. LITMAN & ASSOCIATES, P.A.
YORK BUSINESS CENTER, SUITE 205
3209 West 76th Street
Edina, MN 55435
(952) 832.9090

Date 18 JULY 2007By

Mark A. Litman Reg. No. 26,390

The undersigned hereby certifies that this Transmittal Letter and the pap by first class mail with First Class postage prepaid to the US Postal Serv Stop Amendment, Commissioner for Patents, PO Box 1450, Alexandria, 2007	rice in an envelope addressed to: Mail
Mark A. Litman Name Signature	roff hours